

Dear 5.1.2e

I requested that article to test whether 5.1.2e actually had it. 5.1.2e replied that it was on 5.1.2e home computer, so I asked 5.1.2e to e-mail it to me *as soon as 5.1.2e got home*. 5.1.2e did so yesterday evening (see attached). It is unclear whether this means 5.1.2e had it all along or found it later. In any case, we can disregard this as it does not serve as evidence either way.

Best regards, 5.1.2e

From: 5.1.2e <5.1.2e@law.leidenuniv.nl>
Date: Saturday, 5.1.2e at 17:24
To: 5.1.2e <5.1.2e@law.leidenuniv.nl>, 5.1.2e
<5.1.2e@law.leidenuniv.nl>
Cc: 5.1.2e (5.1.2e) <5.1.2e@law.leidenuniv.nl>
Subject: 5.1.2e Possible case of research fraud - 5.1.2e - how to deal with it

Thank you for this information, dear 5.1.2e

Already when they write the first papers in our programme, I tell the students that if they use Large Language Models (LLM) in any way, they have to check all they find and that they remain entirely responsible for what they submit to us. I quote from the written information given to the students (which I also discussed with them in class):

“Throughout 5.1.2e study programme, you will develop your skills best if you write your papers yourself. If you do choose to make use of AI and in particular of large language models such as ChatGPT, DeepL Write, Google Translate and OpenAI Playground for your research, then note the following:

Be aware of the **limits of such models** and check all information obtained particularly carefully. For example, ChatGPT has been found to be unreliable when it comes to legal information, to the point of even inventing references. The use of invented sources in academic work is a very grave mistake. Note that, at all times, you remain personally responsible for the content of your work. [...]”.

From what you write, it is clear that the student did not adhere to this but in fact did the opposite, i.e. let LLM check what allegedly 5.1.2e did before 5.1.2e. Not acceptable, of course.

Indeed, if we have many problems of the type that you list (i.e. not just an occasional slip), then I would think that

5.2.1

5.2.1

If I read the rules correctly, then the case will have to go to the “Board of Examiners” (i.e. our Adv LLM Exam Commission) if it is a severe one; if it is not severe, then it seems that “the Examiner and a Member of the Academic Board” of the Programme can decide on the case – 5.1.2e do I read this correctly?

But if I understood you right, dear 5.1.2e then you are still waiting for some information from the student on 5.1.2e, right?

Best regards,

5.1.2e

5.1.2e

Leiden University,

5.1.2e

5.1.2e

NL - 2300 RA Leiden

(office address: Steenschuur 25, 2311 ES Leiden)

Tel. +31-7

5.1.2e

Fax +31-7

5.1.2e

e-mail

5.1.2e

5.1.2e@law.leidenuniv.nl and

5.1.2e

5.1.2e