

From: [REDACTED] 5.1.2e <[REDACTED] 5.1.2e @law.leidenuniv.nl>
Sent: Tuesday, [REDACTED] 5.1.2e 8:22 PM
To: [REDACTED] 5.1.2e <[REDACTED] 5.1.2e @law.leidenuniv.nl>
Subject: Possible case of research fraud - [REDACTED] 5.1.2e

Dear [REDACTED] 5.1.2e ,

After reviewing the master thesis submitted by [REDACTED] 5.1.2e , I regret to inform the Board of Examiners that I have substantial concerns regarding the authenticity of the work.

This highly readable text does not match [REDACTED] 5.1.2e command of English. Particularly the fourth chapter reads like it was written by AI based on the previous chapters, with abstract text, lots of repetition, and catchy titles and novel concepts that [REDACTED] 5.1.2e introduces (" [REDACTED] 5.1.2e ").

[REDACTED] 5.1.2e has lots of references to relevant literature, but typical of AI: there is never a reference to a specific page number, only to the book / the article in general.

Also typical of AI: mixing up references to [REDACTED] 5.1.2e . [REDACTED] 5.1.2e only refers to [REDACTED] 5.1.2e in fn 22 does not match the case. In fn 68 the cited decision does not match [REDACTED] 5.1.2e in the [REDACTED] 5.1.2e [REDACTED] 5.1.2e

But, most notably, there is a consistent pattern of including fabricated quotations in the text:

On p. 36 [REDACTED] 5.1.2e quotes [REDACTED] 5.1.2e (" [REDACTED] 5.1.2e [REDACTED] 5.1.2e "), but the quote does not appear in that article, and google gives no hit for it anywhere.

On p. 50 [REDACTED] 5.1.2e quotes [REDACTED] 5.1.2e (" [REDACTED] 5.1.2e [REDACTED] 5.1.2e ") but the article includes no mention of " [REDACTED] 5.1.2e ".

On p 52 [REDACTED] 5.1.2e quotes [REDACTED] 5.1.2e (" [REDACTED] 5.1.2e [REDACTED] 5.1.2e ") but the article includes no mention of " [REDACTED] 5.1.2e ".

On p 47 [REDACTED] 5.1.2e writes that " [REDACTED] 5.1.2e [REDACTED] 5.1.2e ". That quote does not appear in the article, and google gives no hit for it anywhere.

On p 45 [REDACTED] 5.1.2e quotes [REDACTED] 5.1.2e (" [REDACTED] 5.1.2e [REDACTED] 5.1.2e ") but the article includes no mention of [REDACTED] 5.1.2e .

On p 57 [REDACTED] 5.1.2e writes that [REDACTED] 5.1.2e " [REDACTED] 5.1.2e [REDACTED] 5.1.2e ". But the article does not make any mention of " [REDACTED] 5.1.2e ".

I cannot check every referenced source, but the one cited in fn 160, for instance, does not support what the text attributes to it.

What is the procedure: should I inform [REDACTED] 5.1.2e of this referral?

[REDACTED] 5.1.2e