

To: 5.1.2e [redacted]@law.leidenuniv.nl]
From: LAW - Examencommissie
Sent: Thur 5.1.2e 9:16:44 AM
Subject: RE: Referral 5.1.2e [redacted] Thesis Student to Exam Committee 5.1.2e [redacted]
Received: Thur 5.1.2e 9:15:00 AM

Dank 5.1.2e [redacted]

From: 5.1.2e [redacted] 5.1.2e [redacted]@law.leidenuniv.nl>
Sent: Thursday, 5.1.2e 11:05 AM
To: LAW - Examencommissie <examencommissie@law.leidenuniv.nl>
Subject: RE: Referral 5.1.2e [redacted] Thesis Student to Exam Committee 5.1.2e [redacted]

Beste 5.1.2e [redacted],

Zojuist gedaan, met jullie in BCC!

Groet,

5.1.2e [redacted]

From: LAW - Examencommissie <examencommissie@law.leidenuniv.nl>
Sent: Wednesday, 5.1.2e 1:53 PM
To: 5.1.2e [redacted] 5.1.2e [redacted]@law.leidenuniv.nl>
Cc: 5.1.2e [redacted] 5.1.2e [redacted]@law.leidenuniv.nl>
Subject: RE: Referral 5.1.2e [redacted] Thesis Student to Exam Committee 5.1.2e [redacted]

Dear 5.1.2e [redacted]

Thank you for your email. Could you please inform the student that you reported this to the Board of Examiners and that the Board will investigate the case further to decide whether or not a sanction is appropriate, and if so, what the sanction will be, and that in the course of this investigation the Board will of course contact 5.1.2e [redacted] and give 5.1.2e [redacted] an opportunity to respond to our findings?

Also, please do not release 5.1.2e [redacted] grade before the Board has decided.

We will, of course, keep you informed.

Best wishes,

5.1.2e [redacted]

From: 5.1.2e [redacted] 5.1.2e [redacted]@law.leidenuniv.nl>
Sent: Tuesday 5.1.2e 2:29 PM
To: LAW - Examencommissie <examencommissie@law.leidenuniv.nl>
Cc: 5.1.2e [redacted] 5.1.2e [redacted]@law.leidenuniv.nl>
Subject: Referral 5.1.2e [redacted] Thesis Student to Exam Committee 5.1.2e [redacted]

Dear 5.1.2e [redacted] and 5.1.2e [redacted], dear members of the exam committee,

I unfortunately have to refer the thesis of one of my LLM students to you for consideration, as I suspect the use of artificial intelligence. I am writing in English as I have also included the thesis coordinator in the CC, and because the thesis is written in English. It concerns the thesis of 5.1.2e [redacted] enrolled in the LLM 5.1.2e [redacted] and under my supervision.

The thesis is attached, twice. First, the pdf submitted by the student. Second, the file in which I have highlighted several sources included in the footnotes that simply do not exist. There may be more, but after having found a handful, I decided to refer the case to the exam committee as this – in my opinion – clearly

already demonstrates the use of artificial intelligence. I would further like to add that I have stressed in supervisor meetings that the use of AI is not allowed. The student has also signed a declaration (included in the thesis) to that effect. Plus, in our last supervisor meeting I have again stressed that I suspected the use of AI (especially translation software), as the student's level of English in spoken form differs significantly from the language used in the thesis. I will also add that the student submitted 2 days late, after the deadline had already passed.

I sincerely hope you are able to review this thesis. Please let me know if you have any questions or whether I should provide more clarification and/or substantiation. And whether I should inform the student myself, or whether it is best if you do so.

Thank you in advance.

Best wishes,

5.1.2e

5.1.2e



Leiden University | Faculty of Law - 5.1.2e | Steenschuur 25 | 2311 ES Leiden
| www.universiteitleiden.nl/en/staffmembers 5.1.2e