

To: 5.1.2e 5.1.2e [redacted]@gmail.com]
From: LAW - Examencommissie
Sent: Tue 5.1.2e 11:58:08 AM
Subject: RE: REMINDER 5.1.2e suspicion of fraud - invitation to state your views
Received: Tue 5.1.2e 11:58:00 AM

Dear 5.1.2e [redacted]

Thank you for your reply. The Board of Examiners has not received your views. Could you please send it again to the examencommissie@law.leidenuniv.nl

Kind regards,

5.1.2e [redacted]

From: 5.1.2e [redacted] <5.1.2e [redacted]@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 5.1.2e [redacted] 1:55 PM
To: LAW - Examencommissie <examencommissie@law.leidenuniv.nl>
Subject: Re: 5.1.2e [redacted] suspicion of fraud - invitation to state your views

Dear 5.1.2e [redacted]

I replied to the e-mail on Sunday night. Could you please confirm it was received correctly?

Thank you

5.1.2e [redacted]

On Tue, 5.1.2e [redacted] at 13:51, LAW - Examencommissie <examencommissie@law.leidenuniv.nl> wrote:
Dear 5.1.2e [redacted]

Please pay attention to the following e-mail. You still have the opportunity to state your views until Thursday, 5.1.2e [redacted] **12:00 hrs.** at the latest.

Yours kindly, on behalf of the Board of Examiners,

5.1.2e [redacted]

From: LAW - Examencommissie
Sent: Tuesday, 5.1.2e [redacted] 5:08 PM
To: 5.1.2e [redacted] <[5.1.2e \[redacted\]@umail.leidenuniv.nl](mailto:5.1.2e [redacted]@umail.leidenuniv.nl)>
Subject: 5.1.2e [redacted] suspicion of fraud - invitation to state your views
Importance: High

Dear 5.1.2e [redacted]

Your thesis supervisor has found irregularities in your thesis that you submitted a few weeks ago, irregularities that have given rise to a suspicion of fraud (use of artificial intelligence). The reasons for this suspicion are:

- Footnote 24 (5.1.2e [redacted])
This is an 5.1.2e [redacted] book that was published in 5.1.2e [redacted] between 5.1.2e [redacted] not in 5.1.2e [redacted].

- Footnotes 29-31 (5.1.2e [redacted])
This book does not appear to exist. It is a relevant author, but 5.1.2e [redacted] has no such publication.

- Footnote 32 (repeated elsewhere multiple times) 5.1.2e

5.1.2e

Date and ECLI number do not match case name and case number.

- Footnote 35 5.1.2e

This book does not appear to exist. There is a book with a [similar title from a different author](#), published in 5.1.2e

- Footnote 45 5.1.2e

This book does not appear to exist. It is a relevant author, but 5.1.2e only has written a book 5.1.2e.

- Footnote 81 5.1.2e

5.1.2e

This case does not exist. ECLI code, date, case number are all from different cases. This case name cannot be found ([only in part](#)). The accompanying text make various claims about things supposedly discussed in this judgement.

- Footnote 69 5.1.2e

5.1.2e

This case does not exist. ECLI code, date, name, case number are all from different cases. The case name cannot be found ([only in part](#)). The accompanying text make various claims about things supposedly discussed in this judgement.

- p. 21 (5.1.2e

5.1.2e

[Incorrect facts.](#)

5.1.2e

5.1.2e

- Pp. (22-) 5.1.2e

5.1.2e

5.1.2e

- P. 27 5.1.2e

5.1.2e

5.1.2e

In accordance with the provisions contained in Article 6.2 of the [Rules and Regulations](#) (R&R), the supervisor reported 5.1.2e findings to the Board of Examiners. The supervisor notified you of the suspicion and of the fact that 5.1.2e reported this to the Board of Examiners.

The Board of Examiners will initiate an investigation into what happened and, once it has been completed, will take a decision about whether to impose a sanction. Before it does so, you are hereby invited to state your views on the matter and on its possible consequences. The Board would like to hear your views (via e-mail) on this **on Monday, 5.1.2e 12:00 hrs.** at the latest.

Yours kindly, on behalf of the Board of Examiners,

5.1.2e



Universiteit
Leiden

Universiteit Leiden | Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid | Steenschuur 25 | 2311 ES
Leiden | examencommissie@law.leidenuniv.nl