

To: 5.1.2e [redacted] 5.1.2e [redacted] @law.leidenuniv.nl]
From: LAW - Examencommissie
Sent: Tue 5.1.2e [redacted] 6:47:05 AM
Subject: RE: Potential Plagiarism LLM thesis 5.1.2e [redacted], student ID: 5.1.2e [redacted]
Received: Tue 5.1.2e [redacted] 6:47:00 AM

Dear 5.1.2e [redacted]

Thank you for informing us and for sending us the relevant documents. We will scrutinize these and give the student the opportunity to be heard, before deciding on a sanction.

We will keep you informed.

Yours kindly, on behalf of the Board of Examiners,

5.1.2e [redacted]



Universiteit
Leiden

Universiteit Leiden | Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid - Cleveringa instituut
Steenschuur 25 | 2311 ES Leiden | examencommissie@law.leidenuniv.nl

Van: 5.1.2e [redacted]

Verzonden: maandag 5.1.2e [redacted] 14:24

Aan: LAW - Examencommissie

CC: 5.1.2e [redacted]

Onderwerp: Potential Plagiarism LLM thesis 5.1.2e [redacted], student ID: 5.1.2e [redacted]

Dear the Board of Examiners,

I am writing here to refer you to irregularities which I encountered in marking the thesis of one of my supervisees. 5.1.2e [redacted]

5.1.2e [redacted] student ID: 5.1.2e [redacted]
5.1.2e [redacted]

According to the Turnitin report (please see attached), it appears that the student's thesis has 32% similarities with one particular source: 5.1.2e [redacted]

5.1.2e [redacted] (see page 50 of the report)

In particular, I refer you to sections 4.1 to 4.3 of the thesis (see pages 28 to 39 of the report), which are highly similar both in terms of the text, the formatting, and the footnotes. While the student cited 5.1.2e [redacted] book at the bibliography section (at page 46), the original source was not cited in many of the footnotes relating to the irregularities (meaning that the footnotes were also potentially plagiarized). There were also a couple of paragraphs in section 1.1 and section 2.2, which were highly similar to the original source without proper citation. I discussed the matter with the assigned second reader of the thesis, 5.1.2e [redacted] (who is also in cc). We both agree that the similarities were extensive, and thus, we decided to refer this matter directly to your attention.

I contacted the students in due course. I also cc 5.1.2e [redacted] who is 5.1.2e [redacted] thesis for

5.1.2e [redacted]

Thank you again for your time and attention to this matter.

Yours faithfully,

5.1.2e [redacted]

5.1.2e [redacted] Leiden University

Leiden University | Faculty of Law - 5.1.2e [redacted] | Steenschuur 25 |
2311 ES Leiden | www.universiteitleiden.nl/en